Attorney Docket No.: 07844-0501001 / P464

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: R. Perelman, et al.

Art Unit : 2451

Serial No.: 10/006,260

Examiner:

Kamal B. Divecha

Filed

: November 2, 2001

Confirmation No.:

5097

Title

Notice of Allowance Date: October 28, 2011

: CLIENT-SIDE MODIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS IN A

CLIENT-SERVER ENVIRONMENT

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Examiner Interview Summary

Mr. Hunter and Ms. Leung thanks Examiner Divecha for his courtesy and professionalism in conducting a telephonic interview on September 29, 2011. The substance of the interview included a discussion of the term "final" in claims 1 and 16, and a discussion of a previous 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection regarding the use of the term "final" in claims 1 and 16. No agreement was reached during the interview.

Comments on Reasons for Allowance

It is recognized that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed. In the abovereferenced application, it is not conceded that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. The Examiner's reasons for allowance indicate that particular claim elements are not disclosed or suggested by the prior art of record, yet the claims may be patentable for other reasons as well, including the inventive combination of all of the recited claim elements. It is not conceded that the specific limitations identified by the Examiner are necessary to distinguish the art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Moreover, the Examiner does not assert, and it would not be conceded, that the Examiner's reasons have any bearing on the patentability of claims in any other applications directed to the disclosed subject matter.

In addition, each dependent claim stands on its own and may be allowable on its own merits. In particular, each dependent claim may be allowable on the basis of a combination of Applicant: R. Perelman, et al.

Serial No.: 10/006,260

Filed: November 2, 2001

Page : 2 of 2

some of the features recited in the dependent claim and its base claim(s), which combination of features may not include all of the limitations identified in the Examiner's reasons for allowance.

Please apply any necessary charges or credits to Deposit Account 06-1050, referencing the above attorney docket number.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-0501001 / P464

Date: January 26, 2012

/Kim H. Leung/

Kim H. Leung Reg. No. 64,399

Customer Number 21876 Fish & Richardson P.C.

Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

11185449.doc